

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

DARRIN HARRIS,*Defendant*

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: 08-86-M

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

## Part I—Findings of Fact

(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a  federal offense  state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is  a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  
 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.  
 an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_.\*  
 a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.

(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.

(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the  date of conviction  release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).

(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

## Alternative Findings (A)

(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense  
 for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_.  
 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

## Alternative Findings (B)

(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  
 (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

---

---

---

---

## Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by  clear and convincing evidence  a preponderance of the evidence that

Based on the affidavit of probable cause, the report of the Probation Office, and other information provided to the Court during the preliminary hearing and detention hearing, the Court finds clear and convincing evidence that no combination of conditions could reasonably assure the safety of the community between now and the time of the Defendant's trial. The Court further finds that no combination of conditions could reasonably assure that the Defendant would appear for all Court events in this matter.

The Court has reached these conclusions based on the following findings and for the following reasons:

the nature and circumstances of the offense: the Defendant is accused of being a felon in possession of a firearm. After Wilmington Police responded to a call in reference to suspicious activity involving three males apparently attempting to gain access to the roof of a residence, the males dispersed, contrary to the request of an officer. After an officer chased down Defendant Harris and placed him in custody, officers found on him a crowbar as well as a Smith and Wesson 40 caliber firearm, which was not manufactured in Delaware. One of the other males was apprehended, as well as an additional firearm and another crowbar. Harris had a prior felony conviction for Robbery Second Degree.

the weight of the evidence: is very strong. Defendant gave a statement admitting that he possessed the firearm in connection with what was an attempted robbery. There is no dispute that the firearm traveled in interstate commerce and that the Defendant was a person prohibited.

the history and characteristics of the Defendant: as already noted, the Defendant has a prior felony conviction for robbery second degree. He was on pretrial release from the State of Delaware at the time of the instant offense. He has the support of his girlfriend and mother, with whom he could reside, but is unemployed.

the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community that would be posed by the Defendant's release: as a felon in possession of a firearm, who admits to having been in the midst of a burglary, and who has a prior conviction for robbery, the Defendant would pose a danger to the community if released.


**Part III—Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

---

MAY 7<sup>TH</sup>, 2008

Date



Signature of Judge

---

Hon. Leonard P. Stark

---

Name and Title of Judge

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).